Missing final state puzzle in monopole-fermion scattering

Ryutaro Matsudo

KEK Theory Center

Aug 6, 2021

In collaboration with Ryuichiro Kitano

arXiv:2103.13639

Asia-Pacific Workshop on Particle Physics and Cosmology 2021

Ryutaro Matsudo (KEK)

イロト イヨト イヨト

Magnetic monopoles

- A Dirac monopole has a singularity at the core.
- Dirac quantization: If there is a particle with charge e, a magnetic charge has to be $2\pi e^{-1}n$.



- When a gauge group G is spontaneously broken down to $G_{sub} \times U(1)_{sub}$, there are regular magnetic monopoles corresponding to $U(1)_{sub}$ as topological solitons ('t Hooft-Polyakov monopoles).
- $\bullet\,$ eg.) An SU(2) gauge theory with an adjoint Higgs
- In GUT theories, there are such monopoles typically.

Rubakov-Callan effect



[V. A. Rubakov 1982, C. G. Callan 1982]

• • • • • • • • • • • •

 When a proton collides with a GUT monopole, it decays into a positron and mesons.

• The effect has been used to set limits on the monopole flux in the Universe.

Ryutaro Matsudo (KEK)

arXiv:2103.13639

Helicity flip: One-flavor case



• When a charged fermion collides with a monopole, its helicity has to flip.

- The important point is that it occurs even in **the massless theory**, where there are no mixing terms of left-handed and right-handed fermions.
- Thus an operator that mixes them has to have a non-zero expectation value.

 The fermion condensation

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Puzzle: Two-flavor massless case



- The final state has to have the opposite helicity to the initial state and the same flavor charge.
- There are no such fermions in the action.



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

Outline

Missing final state puzzle

2 Soliton of the phase of the fermion condensation

Soliton picture of the scattering

Outline

Missing final state puzzle

2 Soliton of the phase of the fermion condensation

Soliton picture of the scattering

Set up

- We consider an SU(2) gauge theory with an adjoint Higgs and 4 flavors of Weyl fermions, where SU(2) is spontaneously broken down to U(1).
- The global symmetry is SU(4).

SU(4) quadruplets (fund. rep.)

$$SU(2) \text{ doublets} \left\{ \overbrace{\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1^+ \\ b_1^- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2^+ \\ b_2^- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_3^+ \\ b_3^- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_4^+ \\ b_4^- \end{pmatrix} \right)}^{} \right\}$$

 $\bullet\,$ The theory can be regarded as an approximation of SU(5) GUT:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_L^+ \\ d_L^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{d}_L^3 \\ e_L^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_3 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_L^1 \\ \overline{u}_L^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_4 \\ b_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{u}_L^2 \\ \overline{u}_L^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The low energy effective theory

• We approximate the theory as the gauge theory of the unbroken U(1).

a: The U(1) gauge field, f = da, a_j : The Weyl fermions with charge +1, b_j : The Weyl fermions with charge -1.

- The 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole is approximated by the (background) Dirac monopole.
- X, Y bosons, GUT Higgs bosons are considered to be infinitely heavy.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Helicity flip

• In a monopole background, there are **s-wave states** of fermions (the total angular momentum is zero).

$$\vec{J}_{\rm EM} = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{r}_0 \qquad \qquad \vec{J}_{\rm EM} = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{r}_0 \\ \xleftarrow{} \mathbf{L} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{R} \qquad \rightarrow \\ (\mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow{} \hat{r}_0 \qquad \qquad \mathbf{L} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{R} \qquad \rightarrow \\ \vec{f}_{10} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{L} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{R} \qquad \rightarrow \\ \vec{J}_{10} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{r}_0 \qquad \qquad \vec{J}_{10} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{r}_0 \qquad \qquad \mathbf{M}$$

- The higher partial waves cannot reach the monopole core. [R. Jackiw & C. Rebbi, 1976]
- In our setup, for s-wave fermions, only a_j and \bar{a}_j can be incoming particles, and only b_j and \bar{b}_j can be outgoing particles.

$$\bigotimes \begin{array}{ccc} a_j & \bar{a}_j \\ \overbrace{(L,+1)}^{\bullet} (R,-1) \end{array} \qquad \bigotimes \begin{array}{c} \bar{b}_j & b_j \\ \bullet \rightarrow \\ (R,+1) & (L,-1) \end{array}$$

The missing final state puzzle

• The helicity, the U(1) charge and the representation of SU(4) are

 $a_j:(L,+1,\Box), \quad b_j:(L,-1,\Box), \quad \bar{a}_j:(R,-1,\overline{\Box}), \quad \bar{b}_j:(R,+1,\overline{\Box})$

- If the initial state is a₁, the quantum number of the final state has to be (R,+1,□). However, there are no particles with this quantum number.
- "semitons"?



 $b_j/2$: "Semiton", the state with halves of the quantum numbers of b_j .

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

What is the "semiton state"

$$|\frac{b_1}{2}, \frac{\bar{b}_2}{2}, \frac{\bar{b}_3}{2}, \frac{\bar{b}_4}{2}, M\rangle$$
 ?

- The state has half fermion numbers. (The state is the eigenstate of $\int d^3x \bar{b}_1 \sigma^0 b_1$ with the eigenvalue 1/2.)
- The state is orthogonal to any multi-particle state of $a_j, b_j, \bar{a}_j, \bar{b}_j$.
- By projecting the fields to the s-wave component (the s-wave approximation), it was found that the state is actually the final state.
 [C. G. Callan 1984, J. Polchinski 1984, J. M. Maldacena & A. W. W. Ludwig 1997]

The problem is what an appropriate **interpretation** of the state is. A non-particle state? A multi-particle state? Our claim is that it is a **new single-particle state that can exist only in the monopole background and only when the fermions are massless**.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Outline

1 Missing final state puzzle

2 Soliton of the phase of the fermion condensation

Soliton picture of the scattering

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The fermion condensate

The fermion condensation occurs due to the helicity flip.
 Fact: The following fermionic operators have nonzero expectation values:

$$\left\langle (\overline{b}^i \overline{\sigma}_\mu a_j) (\overline{a}^k \overline{\sigma}^\mu b_l) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{r^6} (c_1 \delta^i_j \delta^k_l + c_2 \delta^i_l \delta^k_j)$$
$$\left\langle (a_{i_1} b_{i_2}) (a_{i_3} b_{i_4}) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{r^6} c_3 \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}.$$

Let us consider the effective theory of the operators' phases.

• The variables of the effective theory is the following four:

 θ_A : the sum of the phases of $(a_1b_2)(a_3b_4)$ and $(a_2b_1)(a_4b_3)$, θ_{1j} : the phase of $(\bar{b}^1\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}a_1)(\bar{a}^j\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}b_j)$ for j = 2, 3, 4

- It is convenient to express them using the phases of the fermion fields.
 Let α_j be the phase of a_j and β_j be that of b_j.
- The genuine variables are expressed as

$$\theta_A = \sum_j (\alpha_j + \beta_j), \quad \theta_{1j} = \alpha_1 - \beta_1 - \alpha_j + \beta_j \quad \text{for } j = 2, 3, 4.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

The effective theory and Monopole bags

• To reproduce the chiral anomaly, the effective Lagrangian contains

 $\begin{aligned} \theta_A f \wedge f/(8\pi^2) &+ \theta_{12} f \wedge F_{12}/(4\pi^2) + \cdots, \\ F_{12}: \ U(1) \in SU(4) \text{ field strength corresponding to } \operatorname{diag}(1, -1, 0, 0) \\ \Rightarrow \quad j^{\mu} &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \partial_{\nu} \theta_A f_{\rho\sigma}, \quad j^{\mu}_{12} &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \partial_{\nu} \theta_{12} f_{\rho\sigma} \dots \\ (\text{cf. Axion}) \end{aligned}$

• A monopole bag has the electric and flavor charges:

$$Q = \int d^3x \ j^0 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dr \partial_r \theta_A \int_{S_r^2} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S} = 1.$$

$$\overbrace{Q}^{\alpha_1 = 0} \underset{Q = +1}{\overset{\alpha_1 = 2\pi}{\bigoplus}} \underset{Q_{1j} = +1}{\overset{\alpha_1 = 2\pi}{\bigoplus}} \underset{Q_{1j} = +1}{\overset{\alpha_1 = 2\pi}{\longmapsto}} \underset{Q_{1j} = +1}{\overset{\alpha_1 = 2\pi}{\longleftarrow}} \underset{Q_{1j} = +1}{\overset{\alpha_1 = 2\pi}{\longleftrightarrow}} \underset{Q_{1j} = +1}{\overset{\alpha_1 = 2\pi}{\bigg}} \underset{Q_{1j} = +1}{\overset{\alpha$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Walls bounded by strings

• Because $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_1 + 2\pi$, the wall of α_1 can have a boundary, which is a string of α_1 .



- To regularize the singularity of α_1 at the string, the fermion condensates are zero at the string.
- There are edge modes to maintain the gauge invariance. (c.f. axion strings [C. G. Callan & J. A. Harvey 1985])
- The edge modes contribute to the charge so that the total charge is an integer.



The final state of the scattering

- The objects can be regarded as fermions.
- Some of them have opposite helicity to the original fermions.
 ⇒ New fermions!
- The final state of the monopole-fermion scattering is identified with the new fermions.



Outline

Missing final state puzzle

2 Soliton of the phase of the fermion condensation

Soliton picture of the scattering

The boundary condition at the core of the monopole

- In the process of the scattering, **the boundary condition** at the core of the monopole plays an important role.
- The boundary condition is determined so that the monopole does not have the electric and flavor charges:

$$\int_{S_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \vec{j} \cdot d\vec{S} = 0, \quad \int_{S_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \vec{j}_{1k} \cdot d\vec{S} = 0,$$

$$S_{\varepsilon}^{2}$$

which implies that

$$\partial_t \theta_A|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \partial_t \theta_{1k}|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \forall k,$$

i.e., the phases of the condensations can not change at the core of the monopole.

Ryutaro Matsudo (KEK)

A soliton picture of the scattering

- Due to the boundary condition, the monopole cannot penetrate the wall.
- When the wall reaches the monopole, the wall wraps it.
- The final state corresponds to the new particle with $(R, +1, \Box)$.



(cf. Scattering of an axion string and a monopole [I. Kogan 1993])

Summary

- When a charged fermion collides with a monopole, the helicity of the s-wave component of the fermion has to flip.
- As a consequence, there is a fermion condensate violating the fermion number conservation.
- Puzzle: If there are two flavors of massless Dirac fermions, any fermions in the action cannot be the final state of the monopole-fermion scattering due to the flavor charge conservation and the helicity flip.
- We solve this puzzle by identifying the final state as a new fermion, which is a soliton of the fermion condensates.

イロト イヨト イヨト