

Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter with PTOLEMY-like experiment

Workshop on the physics of Dark Cosmos

Erdenebulgan Lkhagvadorj

Collaboration with prof. Ki-Young Choi and Seong Moon Yoo

(Sungkyunkwan University)

2022.10.21

Contents

Introduction

- PTOLEMY-like experiment
- Sterile neutrino as dark matter

Capture rate of the sterile neutrino dark matter

- Dodelson-Widrow scenario
- Low temperature scenario

Conclusion

PTOLEMY-like experiment

Cosmic neutrino capture on tritium: $\nu_i + {}^3H \rightarrow {}^3He + e^-$

- 1. Find evidence for CNB
- 2. Light DM detection
- 3. Accurate measurement of neutrino mass

PTOLEMY with 100 g of tritium $\Delta = 150$ meV:

$$\begin{split} E_{\rm min} &= E_0 - 5 \ {\rm eV}, \\ E_{\rm max} &= E_0 + 10 \ {\rm eV}, \\ \Gamma_b \lesssim 10^{-5} \ {\rm Hz} \end{split}$$

Electron energy from CNB:

$$E_e^i \simeq K_{\text{end}}^0 + m_e + E_{\nu_i}$$

Maximal electron energy from β -decay:

$$E_{\rm end} \simeq K_{\rm end}^0 + m_e - m_{\rm lightest}$$

[Boyarsky, JCAP, 03:089, 2021]

 $d\Gamma/dE_e \, [\mathrm{yr}^{-1}\mathrm{eV}^{-1}]$

Capture rate of STERILE NEUTRINO (subdominant contribution)

$$\Gamma_{s} = \frac{M_{T}}{m_{T}} |U_{e4}|^{2} \int dE_{\nu_{4}} \sigma v_{\nu_{4}} \frac{dn_{\nu_{4}}}{dE_{\nu_{4}}} \simeq \frac{M_{T}}{m_{T}} |U_{e4}|^{2} (\sigma v)_{0} n_{s,\text{loc.}}$$

 $K_e - K_{end,0}$ [eV]

3

Sterile neutrino as dark matter

Sterile neutrino with keV mass scale is a good candidate for DM.

[Dodelson, Widrow, 1994] [Dolgov, Hansen, 2002] [Asaka, Blanchet, Shaposhnikov, 2005]

- ✓ Stable within the age of the Universe
- ✓ Relic abundance should be $\Omega_{dm}h^2$
- ✓ Provide cosmological constraints

$$\tau \simeq 1.44 \times 10^{27} \text{ s} \left(\frac{1 \text{kev}}{m_s}\right)^5 \frac{10^{-8}}{\sum |U_{\alpha s}|^2} \qquad \text{but greater than the age of the Universe if} \\ \frac{|U_{\alpha s}|^2}{3 \times 10^{-3}} < \left(\frac{10 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^5$$

DM sterile neutrinos can be searched at X-ray telescopes

$$\frac{|U_{\alpha s}|^2}{3 \times 10^{-11}} \lesssim \left(\frac{10 \text{ keV}}{M_s}\right)^4$$

Dodelson-Widrow (DW) scenario

Production by thermal scatterings induced via active-sterile neutrino oscillation

[Dodelson, Widrow 1994 hep-ph/9303287]

Evolution of number density of sterile neutrino: $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_s$ (Neutrinos are kept in thermal equilibrium by electroweak processes)

$$\frac{dn_s}{dt} + 3Hn_s = P(\nu \to \nu_s)\Gamma_{\nu}n_{\nu}$$

$$n_{\nu} = \frac{3}{4}\frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}g_*T_{\nu}^3.$$

$$\Gamma_{\nu} \sim G_F^2 T_{\nu}^2$$

$$n_s(T) \approx 10^{-5} \left(\frac{\sin^2 2\theta}{10^{-10}}\right) \left(\frac{m_s}{\text{keV}}\right) n_{\nu}(T)$$

Dominant production occurs at QCD phase transition epoch

 $T_{\rm max} \simeq 133 \ {\rm MeV} (m_s/{\rm keV})^{1/3}.$

$$\Omega_s \approx 0.2 \left(\frac{\sin^2 \theta}{3 \times 10^{-9}}\right) \left(\frac{m_s}{3 \text{ keV}}\right)^{1.8}$$

[Dasgupta, Kopp, 2021]

Clustering in the Milky Way:

$$n_s^{\text{loc}} = (1 + f_c) n_s$$
$$f_c(m_s) = f_{c,\text{DM}} \left[1 + \left(a \, \frac{\text{kev}}{m} \right)^b \right]^{-2.21/b}$$
$$f_{c,\text{DM}} = 2.4 \times 10^5, \ a = 0.038, \ b = 2.45$$

The local number density of the sterile neutrino from DW mechanism

$$n_{s,\text{loc}} = \frac{\Omega_s}{\Omega_{\text{DM}}} \frac{1 + f_c(m_s)}{f_{\text{c,DM}}} \frac{\rho_{\text{DM, local}}}{m_s}$$



Low temperature scenario

✓ The production of sterile neutrinos through the oscillation of active neutrinos starts at the Universe becomes dominated by a radiation bath with a low temperature

$$T_c < T_{\rm max} \simeq 133 \ {
m MeV} \left({{m_s}\over {
m keV}}
ight)^{1/3}$$

[Gelmini, 2004], [Hasegawa, JCAP, 08:015, 2020], [Gelmini, JCAP 10, A01 (2020)]

 ✓ Relic abundance of sterile neutrinos does not impose that their mixing to active neutrinos to be as small as usually considered.

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\partial f_s(E,T)}{\partial T}\right)_{E/T} &\simeq \frac{1}{2}\sin^2(2\theta_M)\frac{\Gamma(E,T)}{HT}f_\alpha(E,T)\\ \nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_s \qquad \int_{s} (E,T) &\simeq 0.13|U_{e4}|^2 \left(\frac{10.75}{g_*}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{T_c}{\text{MeV}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{E}{T}\right)f_\alpha(E,T).\\ T_c &= 5 \text{ MeV} - \text{low reheating temperature:} \qquad n_s \simeq 51.2 \ |U_{e4}|^2 \left(\frac{10.75}{g_*}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_c}{5 \text{ MeV}}\right)^3 n_\nu\\ \Omega_s h^2 &\simeq 0.5 \left(\frac{|U_{e4}|^2}{10^{-3}}\right) \left(\frac{10.75}{g_*}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_s}{\text{keV}}\right) \left(\frac{T_c}{5 \text{ MeV}}\right)^3. \end{split}$$



Conclusion

- We consider the capture rate of sterile neutrino in the PTOLEMY-like experiment depending on two different cosmological models.
- In both cases, we can obtain that the sterile neutrino mass range in eV scale and large mixing are satisfied with other experimental and cosmological bounds.
 - DW: up to $\sim \vartheta(10^{-1})$ events per year from $Cv_s B$ interaction
 - LRT: up to $\sim \vartheta(10)$ events per year from $C\nu_s B$ interaction
- If sterile neutrino would be detected in PTOLEMY, it will give us fruitful information of Cv_sB and light dark matter candidate.
- If not, PTOLEMY would give some limits on Lyman- α and CMB bounds in the LRT model.

Thank you for your attention.